IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 8681 of 2005

Between:

Smt. Golla Veerabadramma W/o. Lingappa,
R/o. Ajjayyadoddi Village, H/o. Birasamudram,

Brahmasamudram Mandal,
Anantapur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Dharmavaram Division,
Anantapur District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Brahmasamudram Mandal,
Anantapur District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue appropriate writ, Order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in issuing the cancellation order dt. 31-3-2005 in Rc.No. 13/2004 and the action of the 1st Respondent in not passing any orders on the representation dt. 4-4-2005 made by the petitioner as arbitrary, illegal, and against the principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents to continue the petitioner in service as a Cook in the Mandal Parishad Elementary School, Ajjayya Doddi Village, Hamlet of Birasamudram, Brahmasamudram Mandal, Anantapur District and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner :Mr.SESHADRI GOALLA

Counsel for the Respondents : GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORDER:

Seeking to declare the action of the 2nd respondent in issuing the cancellation order dated 31-3-2005 in Rc.No.13/2004, and the action of the 1st respondent in not passing any orders on the representation dated 04-04-2005 made by the petitioner as illegal and arbitrary, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned government pleader for the respondent.

The 1st respondent has to take appropriate decision in pursuance of the orders of the 2nd respondent dated 31-3-2005. Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, the first respondent is hereby directed to take appropriate decision on the representation of the petitioner dated 4-4-2005 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

K.C.BHANU, J.

Dated: 20..04..2005.

RNS

To

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Dharmavaram Division, Anantapur District.

2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Brahmasamudram Mandal, Anantapur District.

3 Two CD copies